1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JULIA HUBBARD, et al., Case No. 2:22-cv-7957-FLA-MAA 12 Plaintiffs, [PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING 13 v. **DEFENDANT MITCHELL'S** 14 MOTION TO DISMISS TRAMMELL S. CROW, JR., et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 19 20 On March 10, 2023, Plaintiffs Julia Hubbard and Kayla Goedinghaus 21 (collectively, "Plaintiffs") filed an Opposition to Defendant Cody Mitchell ("Mitchell") 22 Motion to Dismiss under Federal Rules of Civil 12(b)(6) for Lack of Personal 23 Jurisdiction and Failure to State a Claim (the "Opposition"). 24 Plaintiffs have adequately pleaded substantive allegations against Mitchell as 25 required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8. 26 Plaintiffs have also made a prima facie showing that Defendant Mitchell has 27 minimum contacts with California, and purposefully availed himself to the law and 28 protections of California through his alleged participation in the venture.

## 

1	The Court, having considered the parties' Opposition, all papers filed and finding
2	good cause therefor, hereby DENIES Defendant Mitchell's Motion to Dismiss for Lack
3	of Personal Jurisdiction and Failure to State Claim.
4	
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	
8	Dated:
9	FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	